

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,364	01/30/2004	John Joseph Сигго	8590D	6547
27752 7	590 12/09/2005		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PURVIS, SUE A	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
CINCINNATI,	OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/768,364	CURRO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Purvis	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05 May 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/768,364 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In the preliminary amendment filed 04 January 2004, applicant fails to list claims 1-8 and their status. Applicant is reminded that in order to be in compliant with the current rules, they must list all the claims in the amendment, even if those claims have been cancelled. It is assumed here that applicant has cancelled claims 1-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 11, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harlow (US Patent No. 4,000,348) in view of Hairabedian (US Patent No. 3,459,609).

Regarding claim 9, Harlow discloses a method of creating an electrical. The method includes providing first and second webs (T₁, T₃) of dielectric materials, providing conductive wires (C), and laminating or bonding the webs and wires in a face-to-face layered relationship. (See generally Figure 1 and its description.) Harlow does not disclose stretching the bonded laminate. Hairabedian, also drawn to a cable fabrication method, teaches that stretching is used to achieve the final wire spacing. (See Col. 6, lines 42-52; Col. 7, lines 6-14.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stretch the resulting laminate in Harlow motivated by the

Art Unit: 1734

fact that Hairabedian teaches to stretch the resulting laminate to adjust the spacing of the wires in the laminate and an artisan would appreciate that the same could be done in Harlow.

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, Harlow teaches that in place conductive wires used, the artisan could choose to die-cut from copper foil and fed to the system.

Regarding <u>claims 13 and 14</u>, Harlow discloses the dielectric material used is a polymer film, such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE).

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, the PTFE in Harlow can be expanded or stretched prior to lamination. (Col. 2, lines 46-49.)

Regarding <u>claim 16</u>, PTFE is known to be porous, especially when stretched or sintered as is done in Harlow. (Col. 3, lines 19-22.)

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harlow in view of Hairabedian as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Ostman et al. (US Patent No. 4,085,502).

Regarding <u>claim 10</u>, Harlow in view of Hairabedian discloses feeding conductor wires. Ostman discloses feeding a conductive metal sheet (50) which cut or slit to form individual conductors before being laminated. (Col. 5, lines 34-53.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that an alternative to using wires, as is done in Harlow in view of Hairabedian, would be to use a conductive sheet and slit the sheet into several conductors, as is done in Ostman. An artisan would be motivated to use a conductive sheet depending on the type of cable being formed and what kind of conductive material the artisan wishes to use. Furthermore, Harlow teaches that the conductor material used therein can be die cut before being fed. (Col. 10, lines 25-29.)

5. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abuto et al. (US Patent No. 5,804,021) in view of Ness (US Patent No. 4,525,407).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,364 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claim 9, Abuto discloses a method of making a nonwoven laminate.¹

Abuto discloses providing a first and second web (14, 16) of dielectric materials and a third sheet (12) which is elastomeric and includes conductive material. (Col. 6, lines 14-47.)

The sheets are bonded in a layered relationship as shown in Figure 8, but Abuto does not disclose stretching the laminate as required by the claim. Ness teaches that stretching causes the material to be more extensible. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stretch the laminate in Abuto, thereby causing the laminate to be more extensible, as taught by Ness, motivated by the fact that the resulting laminate would be more extensible.

Regarding <u>claim 12</u>, Abuto discloses laminating the elastomeric layer with nonwoven layers (14, 16).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Listed on attached PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Applicant's preamble of claim 9 which defines the method as "making an electrical cable." However, the preamble has not been given patentable weight because a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,364

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734 Page 5

SP December 5, 2005